Characterization of nitrogen-containing radical products from the photodissociation of trimethylamine using photoionization detection

Nancy R. Forde^{a)} and Laurie J. Butler

The James Franck Institute and Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637

Branko Ruscic

Chemistry Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

Osman Sorkhabi, Fei Qi, and Arthur Suits

Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

(Received 9 February 2000; accepted 23 May 2000)

The outcome of neutral and photoionized N(CH₃)₂ primary products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm is determined by combining photoionization detection with supporting G3 theoretical calculations. N(CH₃)₂ primary products with very little internal energy show an experimentally observed ionization onset of $9.1\pm0.2 \text{ eV}$, but do not appear at the parent ion (m/e = 44). Instead, the parent ion is unstable and easily fragments to m/e = 42, where the signal is observed. N(CH₃)₂ radicals with higher internal energies undergo H-atom loss from the neutral to give CH₂NCH₃, which has an observed ionization onset at parent (m/e = 43) of <9.3 eV. At slightly higher ionization energies, these secondary products also appear at m/e = 42 (where their appearance energy is roughly 9.8–9.9 eV, uncorrected for internal energy). Finally, N(CH₃)₂ radicals with the highest internal energy in this study appear to undergo H₂ loss as neutrals, giving rise to a species whose parent ion has m/e = 42. The ionization onset of this species at m/e = 42 is found to be in the range of 9.5–9.6 eV. © 2000 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-9606(00)01332-5]

I. INTRODUCTION

We recently reported the results of the photodissociation reactions of trimethylamine $[N(CH_3)_3]$ following 193 nm excitation.¹ In analyzing the resulting dissociation pathways, we discovered that little is known about the energetics and ionization characteristics of methylated nitrogen-containing radicals. These radicals were of interest to us both as products of the photodissociation reactions of trimethylamine¹ and dimethylformamide^{2,3} at 193 nm, and also as possible participants in combustion reactions. The experiments outlined in this paper seek to characterize the methylated nitrogen-containing products of the photodissociation of trimethylamine at 193 nm, by analyzing both their energetics and ionization properties.

Nitrogen-methyl bond fission was the only primary photodissociation pathway identified following 193 nm excitation of trimethylamine.¹ The primary N(CH₃)₂ radical products were found to exhibit distinct ionization characteristics depending on their internal energy (given by the 193 nm photon energy minus the N–CH₃ bond energy and observed translational energy). This suggested the existence of additional channels, possibly proceeding through secondary dissociation of the neutral species and/or competitive fission to electronically excited N(CH₃)₂ (\tilde{A}^2A_1) radicals. Several possibilities were considered:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CH}_3)_3 + 193\,\mathrm{nm} &\rightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CH}_3)_2(\widetilde{X}\,^2B_1) + \mathrm{CH}_3 \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{N} = \mathrm{CH}_2 + \mathrm{H} + \mathrm{CH}_3 \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{NC}_2\mathrm{H}_4 + \mathrm{H}_2 + \mathrm{CH}_3 \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{CH}_3)_2(\widetilde{A}\,^2A_1) + \mathrm{CH}_3. \end{split}$$

Based on theoretical estimates of its energy,^{3,4} the formation of N(CH₃)₂(\tilde{A}^2A_1) was discounted as a primary reaction product.¹ To distinguish among the remaining possible channels, information such as the energies of formation and ionization are needed for the products of the secondary dissociation reactions. Table I summarizes these values available from the few earlier studies found in the literature.^{5–21} Studies on the neutral species of formula NC₂H₄ are particularly scant, and various possibilities exist for its structure, including the linear HCNCH₃ and H₂CNCH₂ forms.

This study provides a characterization of both the $N(CH_3)_2$ radical and its secondary neutral and ionic dissociation channels by using the photodissociation of trimethylamine at 193 nm as a primary radical source, and tunable photoionization to detect the primary and secondary neutral photofragments. Tunable photoionization, at least in principle, permits sensitive detection of reaction products just above their threshold for ionization, which, by reducing the excess internal energy of the parent ions, eliminates or significantly reduces dissociative ionization channels that complicate other detection techniques.¹ Besides obtaining information on the ionization energies of the reaction products, the amount of internal energy in the primary $N(CH_3)_2$ prod-

3088

^{a)}Electronic mail: nforde@alice.berkeley.edu

^{0021-9606/2000/113(8)/3088/10/\$17.00}

TABLE I. Literature values for the experimental enthalpies of the formation and ionization energies of several species of interest in this paper.

Species	$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}_{f,298}$ (kcal/mol)	Ionization energy (eV)
N(CH ₃) ₃	-5.67 ± 0.18 (Refs. 5, 6)	7.76-8.56 (Ref. 5)
		7.85±0.05 (eval.) (Ref. 5)
CH ₂ N(CH ₃) ₂	31.1±2.4 (Ref. 7) ^a	
N(CH ₃) ₂	≤30.3 (Ref. 8)	5.17 (Ref. 5)
	33.7 (Ref. 9)	<9.42±0.1 (Ref. 8)
	33.8±3 (Ref. 10) ^b	$9.01 \pm 0.02 \text{ eV} (\text{Ref. } 20)^{\text{c}}$
	34 (Refs. 11, 12)	
	35±2 (Ref. 13)	
	39 (Ref. 14)	
	29.3±2 (Ref. 15)	
	37.4 (Ref. 16)	
	38.2 (Ref. 17)	
CH ₂ NCH ₃	11±2 (Refs. 5, 18)	adiabatic:
		9.3, 9.4, 9.8±0.1 (Ref. 5)
		vertical:
		10.0, 9.90±0.02 (Ref. 5)
CH ₂ NCH ₂	63±3 (Ref. 19)	

^aThe value in Ref. 7 is for the bond dissociation energy of $N(CH_3)_3 \rightarrow CH_2N(CH_3)_2 + H$. We have used the values (Ref. 5) of ΔH_f^0 for $N(CH_3)_3$ and H to determine an estimated ΔH_f^0 for $CH_2N(CH_3)_2$.

^bThe published value for ΔH_f^0 of N(CH₃)₂ and bond enthalpies in Ref. 10 are in error by 0.9 kcal/mol (Ref. 21), and so we have made the appropriate correction here.

^cA photoelectron value, not necessarily implying that the parent ion is stable.

ucts can also be determined, by analyzing the amount of energy disposed to relative product translation of the $N(CH_3)_2+CH_3$ products. In this paper we present the experimentally determined limits on the enthalpies of the formation and ionization energies of the resulting nitrogen-containing radicals and closed-shell species. By combining these results with theoretical calculations, we have characterized secondary dissociation channels of the $N(CH_3)_2$ radical photoproduct, providing a deeper understanding of the complicated dynamics at play in these nitrogen-containing systems.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

These experiments studied the photodissociation products of trimethylamine at 193 nm by detecting the neutral photofragment recoil distributions with a crossed laser– molecular beam apparatus, described in detail in Ref. 22. Tunable photons employed for the product detection via photoionization were produced when the ALS electron beam passed through a U10 undulator (bandwidth at FWHM=0.5 eV).^{23,24} Various filtering methods were used to eliminate higher harmonics of the selected energy. Unless otherwise noted, the experiments described in this paper used a probe photon energy of 10.5 eV with a MgF₂ window in place to eliminate virtually all photon flux above 11 eV.

A 5% mixture of trimethylamine $[N(CH_3)_3]$ in helium (obtained from Matheson Gas Products) was expanded from the pulsed valve source (nozzle diameter=1 mm), with a stagnation pressure of 500 Torr. A scan of the mass spectrum in the range from monomer to dimer mass/charge ratio under typical experimental conditions revealed no contributions from species of higher mass than monomer. The intensity of



FIG. 1. Mass spectral sweep of photofragments at 10.5 eV over the range from m/e = 42-44, showing that there are peaks at m/e = 42 and m/e = 43, but that the signal decreases monotonically past m/e = 43 to m/e = 44. There is no evidence for the parent N(CH₃)⁺₂ ion signal at m/e = 44.

the parent N(CH₃)⁺₃ ion signal was, however, strongly dependent on ionization energy through the range of 9–12.7 eV. The ionization potential of trimethylamine is 7.85 eV,⁵ and for probe photon energies below 10 eV, the signal at parent (m/e=59) was much stronger than the signal at m/e=58. At higher ionization energies, however, the parent peak diminished in intensity as dissociative ionization became competitive, such that at 12.7 eV, the peak at m/e=58 was much more intense than the parent peak. The molecular beam was characterized via hole burning at the parent ion (m/e=59) at either 10 or 10.5 eV, with the mean peak beam velocity found to be 1.30×10^5 cm/s and a full width at halfmaximum (FWHM) of 35%.

In the experiments described here, we have measured the signal for several parent and daughter ions of the neutral photoproducts at various angles of the molecular beam with respect to the detector axis: at m/e = 43 (10°, 11 eV; 30°, 11 eV), 42 (30°, 10 eV; 30°, 10.5 eV; 30°, 11 eV) and 15 (30°, 10.5 eV; 50°, 10.5 eV). No discernible signal was observed at m/e = 57 (10°, 10.5 eV), 30 (10°, 10.5 eV), 29 (10°, 10.5 eV), 17 (30°, 10.5 eV) or 16 (10°, 13.5 eV). The quadrupole was adjusted to approximately 0.4 amu resolution (FWHM).

In addition, a very small signal was observed at m/e = 44 (10°, 10 eV; 10°, 10.5 eV; 30°, 10.5 eV). In order to determine the source of this signal, photofragment TOF spectra were collected at 0.2 amu intervals from m/e = 42.0 to 44.0 (30°, 10.5 eV). Because this signal is observed off the molecular beam (center-of-mass) velocity axis, it arises from photoproducts. One can determine the source of this signal by integrating the area under the photofragment TOF spectrum as a function of the mass/charge ratio of the ions passing through the quadrupole mass filter. The resulting integrated peak intensities (see Fig. 1) show that, while photoproducts appear at m/e = 42 and 43 (with clear separation of the masses by the quadrupole), no m/e = 44 can be discerned above the very small high-mass wing of m/e = 43. In addition, the photoionization curves constructed

from data collected nominally at m/e = 44 appeared identical to those obtained for the m/e = 43 signal. This places an upper limit on the possible intensity of the signal at m/e = 44 as $< 10^{-3}$ of the intensity at m/e = 43.

We determined the photoionization yields for the photoproduct signal at m/e = 42 and 43 by recording TOF spectra at each mass. The spectra were collected at 0.2 eV increments of the nominal photon energy from 8.7–10.7 eV (m/e=42) and from 8.5–10.7 eV (m/e=43), for 50 000 laser shots, each with a 30° angle between the molecular beam and detector axes. The MgF₂ window was in place for all of these scans, and the laser power was held constant at 55 mJ/pulse. The integrated peak area for each species was corrected for drift in the probe photon flux and was plotted as a function of photoionization energy.

A power study of the observed TOF signal was the most direct way to ensure that the electronic transition of trimethylamine was not saturated. (The ArF excimer transition provided the 193 nm light.) We conducted a power study of the signal at m/e = 42 with laser powers ranging from 10 to 60 mJ/pulse, resulting in attenuated powers of roughly 80% in the interaction region, a cross-sectional area of 1×3 mm². It revealed no change to the fast edge of the TOF spectrum, and so the experiments described in this chapter were run with a laser power of between 50–60 mJ/pulse, at 100 Hz repetition rates.

III. THEORETICAL METHODOLOGY

Supporting calculations were performed using the GAUSSIAN 98 package of programs,²⁵ with the intent of providing insight into the possible dissociation and ionization channels of relevance to these experiments. As shown previously,^{7,26–34} the potential energy surfaces of the species NC_nH_m and particularly the ions $NC_nH_m^+$ are quite complex, exhibiting a large number of minima and saddle points, corresponding to a considerable number of isomers and conformers. From these possible species, a relevant subset was chosen, and calculations of bond dissociation energies, ionization energies, and fragment appearance energies (corresponding to dissociative ionization) were performed, to enable the determination of the ionic and neutral species formed in the present experiments.

Calculations leading to thermochemical quantities presented in Table II (and in Tables III–V of Ref. 35) were performed at the G3 level of theory,³⁶ which is the latest in the series of Gaussian-*n* theories. Using the G2/97 test set, the reported overall average absolute deviation between experiment and the G3 results is 1.02 kcal/mol, corresponding to a standard deviation $\sigma = 1.47$ kcal/mol. Hence, for the species considered in the present work, the G3 calculated results are expected to fall within ±3 kcal/mol of the true value. More details of the calculations performed here are found in the electronic supplementary material (EPAPS).³⁵

IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The photofragment TOF spectra from these experiments are consistent with the results of earlier experiments, showing $N-CH_3$ bond fission to be the dominant primary dissoTABLE II. Calculated ionization energies and fragment appearance energies of interest to the present study. All values are at the G3 level of theory. IE_a and IE_v refer to the adiabatic and vertical ionization energies, respectively, while AE₀[AB^+/ABC] is the 0 K appearance energy of the AB^+ ionic fragment formed by the photoionization process $ABC + h\nu \rightarrow AB^+ + C$ $+ e^-$.

	Calculated
Quantity	value (eV)
$IE_a[N(CH_3)_3]$	7.87
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH_3]$ to ¹ A cation	9.05
$IE_{v}[H_{3}C-N-CH_{3}]$ to singlet surface	9.68
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH_3]$ to 3B_1 cation	8.97
$IE_v[H_3C-N-CH_3]$ to triplet surface	9.82
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH_3]$ to ¹ A' ring cation	6.19
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH_3]$ to ¹ A' (C _s)CH ₂ NHCH ₃ ⁺ cation	5.72
$AE_0[CH_2NHCH_3^+, {}^1A'(C_s)/N(CH_3)_3]$	9.08
$AE_0[CH_2NHCH_3^+, {}^1A'(C_s)/NH(CH_3)_2]$	9.73
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH_2]$ to ² A' $H_3C-N-CH_2^+$ cation	9.14
$IE_v[H_3C-N-CH_2]$	9.96
$IE_a[H_2C-NH-CH_2 ring]$ to ² A' $H_2C-NH-CH_2^+$ ring	9.33
cation	
$IE_v[H_3C-N-CH_2 ring]$	10.00
$AE_0[CH_3-N-CH_2^+, {}^2A'(C_s)/H_3C-N-CH_3, {}^2B_1(C_{2v})]$	10.52
$AE_0[H_2C-NH-CH_2^+, {}^2A'(C_s \operatorname{ring})/H_3C-N \otimes H_3, {}^2B_1(C_{2v})]$	11.25
$IE_a[H_2C-N-CH_2]$ to ${}^{1}A_1$ cation	6.83
$IE_v[H_2C-N-CH_2]$ to singlet surface	8.63
$IE_a[H_2C-N-CH_2]$ to ${}^{3}A_2$ cation	9.45
$IE_v[H_2C-N-CH_2]$ to triplet surface	10.29
$IE_a[H_2C-N-CH_2^2B_1 \text{ ring}]$ to ${}^1A_1 \text{ ring}$	12.20
$IE_v[H_2C-N-CH_2^2B_1 ring]$ to singlet surface	10.42
$IE_a[H_2C-N-CH_2^2B_1 ring]$ to ${}^3B_1 ring$	9.85
$IE_v[H_2C-N-CH_2^2B_1 ring]$ to triplet surface	10.57
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,1)]$ to 1A_1 cation	6.23
$\operatorname{IE}_{v}[\operatorname{H}_{3}\operatorname{C}-\operatorname{N}-\operatorname{CH}^{2}A'(C_{s},1)]$ to singlet surface	7.70
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,1)]$ to ³ A' cation	10.90
$IE_v[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,1)]$ to triplet surface	11.98
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,2)]$ to 1A_1 cation	6.03
$\operatorname{IE}_{v}[\operatorname{H}_{3}\operatorname{C}-\operatorname{N}-\operatorname{CH}^{2}A'(C_{s},2)]$ to singlet surface	7.69
$IE_a[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,2)]$ to ³ A' cation	10.70
$IE_v[H_3C-N-CH^2A'(C_s,2)]$ to triplet surface	11.07
$AE_0[CH_2-N-CH_2^+, {}^{1}A_1(D_{2d})/H_3C-N-CH_2, {}^{1}A'(C_s)]$	10.61
$AE_0[CH_3-N-CH^+, {}^{1}A_1(C_{3v})/H_3C-N-CH_2, {}^{1}A'(C_s)]$	10.40
$AE_0[CH_3-C-NH^+, {}^{1}A_1(C_{3v})/H_3C-N-CH_2, {}^{1}A'(C_s)]$	9.90

ciation event following 193 nm excitation.¹ The photofragment TOF spectra at m/e=43, 42, and 15 (shown in Figs. 2–4) were fit by two recoil translational energy distributions, $P(E_T)$'s (shown in Fig. 5), corresponding to primary N–CH₃ bond fission. That these $P(E_T)$'s are identical to those used previously to fit the 200 eV electron impact data¹ illustrates that the translational energy release is independent of ionization technique, as it should be. The assignment of these distinct channels to the processes

$$N(CH_3)_3 + 193 \text{ nm} \rightarrow CH_3 + N(CH_3)_2(\tilde{X}^2B_1),$$
 (1)

$$\rightarrow$$
 CH₃+N(CH₃)₂*

$$\rightarrow$$
 CH₃+H₂C=NCH₃+H, (2)

is shown in the following sections. Additionally, here we suggest that the slow, unfit signal at m/e = 42 (Fig. 3) is a NC₂H₄ product arising from secondary dissociation:



FIG. 2. The TOF spectrum of the products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm, recorded at m/e = 43, at a 30° source angle, and with 10.5 eV ionization energy. Experimental points are shown as open circles and the fit to the data is given as a solid line. The experimental data are fit beautifully by the same translational energy distribution $[P(E_T)]$ that was used previously (Ref. 1) to fit the signal observed at m/e = 43 following 200 eV electron-impact ionization of the photofragments of trimethylamine (see also Fig. 5).

$$N(CH_3)_3 + 193 \text{ nm} \rightarrow CH_3 + N(CH_3)_2^{\dagger} \rightarrow CH_3 + NC_2H_4 + H_2.$$

(3)

Photoion yield curves obtained by integrating photofragment TOF spectra at m/e = 43 and 42 (the only mass/charge ratios at which nitrogen-containing reaction products were observed in the present experiments) were used to characterize the products and are analyzed in the following sections. The results show that reactions (1)–(3) produce species with distinct photoionization characteristics. Because the reaction products also can be identified by kinetic energy release, we have determined that following 10.5 eV photoionization, the



FIG. 3. TOF spectrum of the products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm, recorded at m/e = 42, at a 30° source angle, and with 11 eV ionization energy. Experimental points are shown as open circles and the fit to the data is given as a solid line, with contributions from dissociation channels shown as broken lines. The fit uses the translational energy distributions $[P(E_T)$'s] determined previously from electron impact data (Ref. 1; see also Fig. 5), which leave the slow edge inadequately fit.



FIG. 4. A TOF spectrum of the products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm, recorded at m/e = 15, at a 50° source angle, and with 10.5 eV ionization energy. Experimental points are shown as open circles and the fit to the data is given as a solid line, with contributions from dissociation channels shown as broken lines. The fit uses the translational energy distributions $[P(E_T)$'s] determined previously from electron impact data (Ref. 1, see also Fig. 5).

nitrogen-containing products of reactions (1) and (3) appear at m/e=42, while the nitrogen-containing product of reaction (2) appears at both m/e=43 and m/e=42. Additionally, each component of the TOF spectrum at m/e=42 was found to have a distinct photoionization curve. Thus, each of the three distributions arises from a different neutral precursor.

A. Species appearing at m/e=43: CH₂NCH₃

Although the photon resolution in these experiments is relatively low, the shape of the photoionization curve shown in Fig. 6 can be interpreted as representing the parent ionization of a species with a relatively broad Franck–Condon en-



FIG. 5. Recoil translational energy distributions $[P(E_T)$'s] used to fit the TOF spectra both in this paper and in previous work (Ref. 1) that used 200 eV electron-impact ionization (Ref. 1). The faster $P(E_T)$, extending from 26–66 kcal/mol, was assigned previously (Ref. 1) to $N(CH_3)_2 (\tilde{X}^2B_1) + CH_3$. The slower $P(E_T)$ shown here, extending from 0–46 kcal/mol, corresponds to the primary formation of unstable $N(CH_3)_2^* + CH_3$, where the $N(CH_3)_2$ radical undergoes secondary H-atom loss prior to its arrival at the detector.

Downloaded 18 Mar 2002 to 128.135.85.148. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://ojps.aip.org/jcpo/jcpcr.jsp



FIG. 6. Photoion yield curve for the species appearing at m/e=43. The TOF signal at m/e=43 was integrated from 96–300 μ s, and the intensity shown in this plot has been corrected for variations in the probe photon flux. We have attributed this signal to the ionization of neutral CH₂NCH₃ secondary products.

velope, where the observed photoion spectral shape roughly corresponds to an integral over this envelope. The tail region hides unresolved initial (low) Franck-Condon factors, which, as the photon energy increases, build up and attain maximum probability in the region of steepest slope, finally leveling off when the Franck-Condon envelope is exhausted. If the final point in Fig. 6 represents a leveling off, then the vertical ionization energy of the species appearing at m/e = 43 is close to ~ 10.0 eV. Ideally, the signal onset at the low-energy end should correspond to the adiabatic ionization energy. However, the tail region is rendered complex by several factors, which tend to work in opposite directions: very low Franck–Condon factors tend to push the experimentally measurable onset to energies higher than the true adiabatic ionization energy, while low photon resolution and hot bands (arising from neutrals that are internally excited in the Franck-Condon active symmetric vibrational mode) tend to push the apparent onset toward lower energy. Selecting a point just before the more pronounced section of the ascent, such as ~ 9.3 eV, should produce a relatively "safe" upper limit to the adiabatic ionization energy. The true adiabatic onset is expected to be in the "tail" region, at a lower energy and difficult to pinpoint more accurately.

Assuming the interpretation of the data at m/e = 43 as parent ionization to be correct, then the neutral species in question has a composition NC₂H₅ with a vertical ionization energy of ~ 10.0 eV and an adiabatic ionization energy of <9.3 eV. The simplest secondary dissociation process to produce NC_2H_5 from the primary $N(CH_3)_2$ product is straightforward C–H bond cleavage, leading to а $CH_3-N=CH_2$ structure. In agreement with this, we see in that the adiabatic ionization Table II energy $IE_a(CH_2=N-CH_3)=9.14 \text{ eV}$ and the vertical ionization energy $IE_v(CH_2=N-CH_3)=9.96 \text{ eV}$ at the G3 level of theory. This reinforces the assignment of the neutral species to $CH_2=NCH_3$, ${}^{1}A'(C_s)$, formed by secondary dissociation of $N(CH_3)_2$ radicals:

N(CH₃)₃+193 nm→N(CH₃)₂(
$$X^2B_1$$
)+CH₃,
 D_0 =77.6 kcal/mol,
→CH₂=NCH₃+H, D_0 =31.9 kcal/mol.

The calculated minimum energy required for the secondary production of $CH_2=NCH_3$ is thus 109.5 kcal/mol (see Table V of Ref. 35), which corresponds to a maximum of 39 kcal/ mol remaining for relative product translation. The less precisely determined experimental enthalpy changes are smaller for each reaction above, giving a maximum of 44 kcal/mol available for product translation. The translational energy distribution for this reaction, the slower one in Fig. 5, extends to 46 kcal/mol. The upper limit of this $P(E_T)$ is difficult to determine, however, because it assumes secondary H-atom loss from N(CH₃)₂ to have no impact on the velocity of the CH₂NCH₃ products. Within this assumption, the calculated and observed maximum translational energies are in quite reasonable agreement.

B. Shape and energetics of the TOF spectra at m/e = 42

The photofragment TOF spectrum at m/e = 42 obtained by 11 eV photoionization (see Fig. 3) is very similar to that obtained using 200 eV electron impact ionization,¹ where the bulk of the signal is fit well with the two $P(E_T)$'s shown in Fig. 5. Because the translational energies of the fastest products extend close to the limit of available energy following N-CH₃ bond fission [compare $E_{avl}=70\pm3$ kcal/mol from G3 calculations with the maximum translational energy in the faster $P(E_T)$ in Fig. 5], the higher kinetic energy distribution is attributed to N(CH₃)₂ (\tilde{X}^2B_1) radicals formed with little internal energy, which arrive intact at the detector.¹ The slower $P(E_T)$ was assigned in the previous section to unstable N(CH₃)₂* primary products that undergo secondary H-atom loss to CH₂NCH₃, appearing when ionized at both m/e=43 and m/e=42.

The shape of the m/e = 42 TOF spectrum changes markedly at lower photoionization energy (see Fig. 7 obtained at 10 eV), where not only are the different ionization efficiencies of the contributing species obvious, but the rising edge of the spectrum is also overfit by the faster $P(E_T)$. The change in the rising edge is puzzling, as this indicates ionization of only a slow subset of the N(CH₃)₂ reaction products. It is possible that the ionization is dependent on the internal energy of the radicals, and that at 10 eV, the fastest N(CH₃)₂ (\tilde{X}^2B_1) products (i.e., those with the least internal energy) are not being ionized. The ionization efficiency of polyatomic radicals is not usually so strongly dependent on internal energy, however, and if this explanation is true, it would represent a rather unusual case.

Also apparent in Fig. 3 is additional slow TOF signal not fit by either of the $P(E_T)$'s shown in Fig. 5. A similarly underfit slow signal at m/e = 42 was observed in the experiments utilizing 200 eV EI ionization.¹ (The scatter in the data



FIG. 7. TOF spectrum of the products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm, recorded at m/e=42, at a 30° source angle, and with 10 eV ionization energy. Experimental points are shown as open circles connected by thin lines, the fit to the data is given as a solid line, and contributions from dissociation channels are shown as broken lines. The fit uses the translational energy distributions $[P(E_T)$'s] determined previously from electron impact data (Ref. 1; also see Fig. 5). At this ionization energy, the TOF spectrum is bimodal, and the fit to the data appears to be too broad, especially for the fast peak. Reasons for this effect are discussed in the text.

points at lower ionization energies in Fig. 7 may obscure the need for an additional slow distribution to fit this signal as well.) N(CH₃)₂ and CH₂NCH₃ products contribute to the faster portion of the m/e = 42 TOF signal; as there are no other obvious neutral products of mass 44 or 43, we consider secondary dissociation of N(CH₃)₂ \rightarrow NC₂H₄+H₂ as a possibility.

In order to convert the observed unfit portion of the TOF distribution into a translational energy release distribution, there must be a TOF observation for the momentum-matched partner. Even if we assume H₂ loss to leave the velocity of the NC₂H₄ radicals unchanged from their N(CH₃)₂ parents (as would be the case in a barrierless process), there is no slow signal in the CH_3 TOF spectrum unfit by reactions (1) and (2) (see Fig. 4). This is not overly surprising, as it is likely that H₂ loss provides a velocity "kick" to the recoiling NC₂H₄ radicals, such that their times of arrival at the detector are broadened to fast and particularly to slow times compared to the methyl radicals that are momentum matched to the unstable $N(CH_3)_2^{\dagger}$ precursor. The best we can do then is to fit the slow portion of the m/e = 42 TOF distribution to a velocity distribution, P(v), of the neutral precursor to the signal at m/e = 42, shown in Fig. 8.

If this distribution indeed corresponds to primary dissociation to unstable N(CH₃)₂[†]+CH₃, we can obtain a $P(E_T)$ by converting from velocity to recoil translational energy. The $P(E_T)$ thus derived extends from 0–24 kcal/mol and is likely broader than the "true" primary $P(E_T)$, due to the probable exit barrier to H₂ loss and concomitant velocity kick imparted to the NC₂H₄ radicals. The upper limit can be used to find the minimum internal energy of the unstable N(CH₃)₂[†] radicals. The available energy following 193 nm excitation and N–CH₃ bond fission is given by (148–78 =)70 kcal/mol. The upper limit of the $P(E_T)$ derived from



FIG. 8. An additional velocity distribution, P(v), shown in the inset, can be used to fit the slowest, previously unfit portion of the signal at m/e=42. (The TOF data is the same as shown in Fig. 3.)

the P(v) shown in Fig. 8 is 24 kcal/mol, corresponding to an internal energy of N(CH₃)₂ radicals of (70-24 =)46 kcal/mol, assuming the formation of internally cold CH₃ radicals. This value provides a very rough estimate of the energy required to undergo secondary H₂ loss from N(CH₃)₂.

Possible radical structures with chemical formula NC_2H_4 and the related enthalpies of reaction (at the G3 level of theory) are as follows:

$$\begin{split} \text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2 &\rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{N}\text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2, & \Delta \text{H} = 15.7 \text{ kcal/mol}, \\ \text{CHNCH}_3 + \text{H}_2, & \Delta \text{H} = 24.8 \text{ kcal/mol}, \\ \text{H}_2\text{C} &\xrightarrow{/\text{N} \setminus} \text{CH}_2 \text{ (ring)} + \text{H}_2, & \Delta \text{H} = 33.4 \text{ kcal/mol}. \end{split}$$



FIG. 9. The total photoionization efficiency curve for all species appearing at m/e = 42. The TOF signal at m/e = 42 was integrated from 90–300 μ s, and intensities have been corrected for variations in the probe photon flux. Three possible contributions can be seen in this plot, perhaps corresponding to the three distributions used to fit the m/e = 42 TOF spectrum in Fig. 8. The ion signal starts to appear around 9.0–9.1 eV, and levels off after a modest increase. A second contribution starts at ~9.5 eV, and the third contribution commences at ~10.0–10.1 eV.

All of these possible structures are energetically accessible, and we now turn to the ion appearance energies at m/e = 42 for additional information that may help in identifying this species.

C. Ionization characteristics of the species appearing at m/e=42: N(CH₃)₂, CH₂NCH₃, and NC₂H₄

The total photoion yield curve corresponding to m/e=42, shown in Fig. 9, hints at three contributions that may correspond to the three distributions used to fit the m/e=42 TOF spectra. The ion signal starts to appear around 9.0-9.1 eV, and, after a modest increase, quickly levels off. A second, slightly more pronounced contribution starts at \sim 9.5 eV, causing the ion yield to ascend nearly linearly until ~ 10.1 eV. At that point there appears to be a break in the slope, and a new, quasilinear increase in the ion yield ensues, continuing to the highest energy point recorded here. We have attempted to determine independently the partial photoion yield of each contribution, by integrating separately the signal over times of flight where each of the $P(E_T)$'s and P(v) is dominant. Unfortunately, as seen in Fig. 8, the arrival times of the three components overlap to a great extent, making a clean separation of the three components difficult to achieve and resulting in "cross-contamination." In addition, the three partial photoionization yield curves have significantly larger scatter than the total photoion yield curve, making the interpretation even more difficult.

Figure 10(a) shows the partial photoionization yield curve for the fastest signal at m/e = 42, obtained by integrating the time-of-flight signal between 90 and 100 μ s. At the earliest of these flight times, only the fast channel contributes, and over the rest of this integration range, the relative intensity of the middle distribution is less than 10% of that of the fast distribution. The partial photoion yield has an apparent onset at ~ 9.1 eV, after which it curves up to ~ 10.2 eV, where it shows a tendency to level off. This is followed by a further increase given by a few scattered points at higher energies, although it is not entirely clear whether this belongs to the "fast" component or represents a "contamination" from the middle component. A comparison with the total yield in Fig. 9 suggests that the fastest component is predominantly responsible for the portion of the total yield at lowest energies.

The overall shape of the spectrum in Fig. 10(a) strongly suggests parent ionization, with an adiabatic ionization onset of ~9.1 eV (or lower) and a vertical ionization energy very roughly 0.6–0.7 eV higher. These values show excellent agreement with the predicted adiabatic and vertical ionization energies of CH₃NCH₃ (see Table II). The calculated adiabatic ionization energy of CH₃NCH₃ to the triplet cation of similar structure (dimethyl nitrenium) is predicted to be 9.0 eV, and to the singlet cation to be 9.1 eV, both coinciding with the discernible onset in Fig. 10(a). Furthermore, the predicted vertical ionization energies of dimethyl amidogen to the singlet and triplet ionic surfaces are 9.7 and 9.8 eV, respectively, quite close to the middle of the first rise in Fig. 10(a).

Figure 10(b) displays the partial photoionization efficiency curve associated with the "middle" species at m/e



FIG. 10. The partial photoionization efficiency curve corresponding to the fastest two species appearing at m/e=42. (a) Fastest species: The TOF signal at m/e=42 was integrated from 90–100 μ s, and the intensity shown in this plot has been corrected for variations in the probe photon flux. The spectrum can be attributed to neutral N(CH₃)₂ radicals, undergoing efficient dissociative ionization to NC₂H₄⁺ fragment ions (see the text). (b) Middle species: The TOF signal at m/e=42 was integrated from 112–121 μ s, and the intensity shown in this plot has been corrected for variations in the probe photon flux. The spectrum can be attributed to dissociative ionization of CH₂NCH₃ (see the text).

=42, obtained by integrating the photofragment TOF spectra between 112 and 121 μ sec. While it is very difficult to avoid contributions from both the "fast" and the "slow" components, the integration limits chosen here are an attempt to minimize these unwanted contributions by keeping their sum to less than 10% of the desired "middle" component. The partial spectrum of the "middle" component seems to correspond to the rise seen at the high-energy end of the total photoionization efficiency spectrum presented in Fig. 9.

A fragment resulting from photodissociative ionization of a heavier parent is the most likely source of the signal in Fig. 10(b), judging by the general shape of the spectrum.^{37,38} The quasilinear rise at higher energy suggests that the appearance energy for the fragment in question is in the neighborhood of 9.8–9.9 eV. (Technically, this value should be corrected for the average internal energy of the neutral parent, which may be available to the photodissociative ionization process. In the present case such a correction is rather difficult to do reliably, and may not be particularly important, given the approximate nature of the extracted appearance energy and the expectation that the excess energy of the parent will not be particularly well randomized between the available internal modes.) The assignment of this middle species to CH₂NCH₃ is in agreement with calculations, which predict it to dissociatively ionize to the most stable isomer of NC₂H₄⁺, N-protonated acetonitrile (H₃C-C \equiv N⁺-H) at 9.9 eV (see Table IV of Ref. 35).

Finally, we focus our attention on the remaining "slow" species. Partial photoionization yield curves³⁵ suggest an ionization onset of ≤9.5 eV and a vertical ionization energy of ≥ 10.0 eV. The shape of the spectra suggests that the signal could arise from parent ionization, with two neutral species as obvious possibilities: CH₃NCH and CH₂NCH₂ [both arising from secondary dissociation of $N(CH_3)_2$]. The two species differ in the source of the secondary H₂ elimination, with the underlying assumption for facile production of either species that the transition state for elimination does not cause a skeletal rearrangement. Comparing the experimental with the calculated values in Table II, it seems most likely that the slow species is CH₂NCH₂ with ionization to the triplet surface (IE_{ad}=9.4 eV and IE_{vert}=10.3 eV). It is hard to explain why ionization of CH2NCH2 to the singlet surface of the ion is missing, unless (as witnessed by the large difference of 1.8 eV between the adiabatic and vertical ionization energy) this results in a very extended Franck-Condon envelope that corresponds to a weak feature not easily discernible above the background scatter.

A radical structure in which CH_2NCH_2 forms a ring is also a possibility. The apparent onset of ionization is lower than adiabatic ionization either to the ${}^{3}B_{1}$ ion (9.9 eV) or to the ${}^{1}A_{1}$ ion (10.2 eV), but this may be a result of the lowenergy tail of the "middle" species, which is also present in the spectra. The vertical ionization energies to these surfaces (10.7 and 10.4 eV, respectively) show good agreement with the midpoint of the rise in photoion signal.³⁵ Hence, the assignment of the "slow" species as the CH_2NCH_2 ring structure cannot be excluded.

V. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Under soft photoionization conditions at the Advanced Light Source, we have been able to identify several products of trimethylamine photodissociation at 193 nm. We have characterized the $N(CH_3)_2$ primary product and CH_2NCH_3 secondary product, and have set some energetic limits on another secondary product, perhaps corresponding to NC_2H_4 .

The radical N(CH₃)₂ has an experimentally determined ionization onset of $9.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$, which is interpreted as being close to its adiabatic ionization energy. The calculated adiabatic ionization energy at the G3 level is $9.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$, similar to previous results at the G1 and G2 level $(9.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV})$ by Wright and Miller.³⁹ The experimental evidence presented here suggests that the N(CH₃)₂⁺ ion formed by the photoionization of neutral N(CH₃)₂ is unstable and very efficiently fragments on the timescale of the experiment to produce an ion of m/e = 42. This suggestion is reinforced by the observation that at all explored energies in these experiments, we were unable to observe m/e = 44 beyond the limit of $< 10^{-3}$ of the intensity at m/e = 43. Hence, the parent ion of CH₃NCH₃ gives, at best, an extremely weak signal. Although the ionic species that is detected corresponds to a fragment, the onset and the shape of the photoionization efficiency curve are still defined by the Franck–Condon factors corresponding to the initial transition from the CH₃NCH₃ neutral to the CH₃NCH₃⁺ surface(s). This is because a direct transition from the CH₃NCH₃ neutral to the NC₂H₄⁺ + H₂ asymptote involves vanishingly small Franck–Condon factors, so does not contribute to the observable photoionization efficiency.

While G3 calculations do find a minimum corresponding to $CH_3NCH_3^+$ on both the singlet and triplet surfaces, they also show that the fragmentation of $CH_3NCH_3^+$ to $NC_2H_4^++H_2$ has several exothermic paths, depending on the assumed structure of the $NC_2H_4^+$ ion. For example, the most stable ion of the $NC_2H_4^+$ composition examined here, corresponding to N-protonated acetonitrile, $CH_3-C \equiv N-H$, ${}^{1}A_1(C_{3n})$, corresponds to a fragmentation asymptote that is 51.7 kcal/mol downhill from the CH₃NCH₃⁺ singlet ion.³⁵ The rearrangement required to access this asymptote is generally facile on ionic surfaces (usually much moreso than on neutral surfaces). A more exhaustive theoretical examination of the NC₂H₄⁺ potential energy surfaces (at the MP2/6-31G(d)//4-31G level)³⁴ also found this ion to be the most stable structure on the singlet surface. The same study indicated that the lowest $NC_2H_4^+$ ion on the triplet surface is \sim 69 kcal/mol higher in energy, and hence does not provide an exothermic path for photodissociative ionization of dimethylamidogen on the triplet surface.

Although we have not tried to estimate the depth of the $CH_3NCH_3^+$ minimum in the G3 calculations, nor have we tried to identify the transition state that would lead to a $NC_2H_4^++H_2$ asymptote, prior theoretical studies indicate that the dimethylnitrenium ion is not particularly stable. For example, Ford and Herman³² found that at the MP2(full)/6-31G(*d*) level the $CH_3NCH_3^+$ ion does not correspond to a local minimum on the singlet surface, but to a transition state for H migration to the immonium ion $(CH_2NHCH_3^+)$, while on the triplet surface the nitrenium $(CH_3NCH_3^+)$ and immonium ions were found to lie within 0.2 kcal/mol. For a comparison, at the G3 level of theory the immonium ion is also more stable than the nitrenium ion by 76.9 kcal/mol on the singlet surface, while on the triplet surface the immonium ion is also more is stable by 1.2 kcal/mol.³⁵

Two studies in the literature have found a value of the ionization energy of CH₃–N–CH₃, which lies in close agreement with that reported here.^{8,20} Those studies, however, relied on the pyrolysis of $(CH_3)_2NNO^{8,20}$ and $(CH_3)_2NNH_2^8$ to provide the N(CH₃)₂ radicals, a not particularly clean technique. It tends to produce a complex mixture of secondary species arising from equilibration to other isomers on the hot walls and recombination in the reaction vessel. Although Fisher and Henderson reported a signal at the parent ion (m/e=44), they also reported signals at m/e=45 and 43, interpreted as arising from ionization of dimethylamine, NH(CH₃)₂ and methylenemethylamine, CH₃N=CH₂.⁸

Hence, it is not clear *a priori* whether their signal at m/e = 44 corresponds to direct ionization of CH₃–N–CH₃, as they suggested, or to some other isomer resulting from equilibration on the wall. In fact, it is not even clear whether their signal at m/e = 44 arises from parent ionization or corresponds to dissociative ionization from a heavier species.

Castleman and co-workers⁴⁰ reported a lower (9.2 $\pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$) and upper (9.9 $\pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$) limit to the appearance energy of the fragmentation process:

 $NH(CH_3)_2 \rightarrow NC_2H_6^+ + H.$

While the resulting ion has m/e = 44, they suggested that its structure is $CH_2NHCH_3^+$ rather than $CH_3NCH_3^+$. Indeed, us- $\Delta H_{f\,298}^0$ [NH(CH₃)₂]=-4.7±0.5 kcal/mol⁵ ing (0.5) ± 0.5 kcal/mol at 0 K) with the 9.2–9.9 eV range for the appearance energy, one obtains $\Delta H_{f,0}^0(\text{NC}_2\text{H}_6^+)$ in the range of 165±5 to 175±5 kcal/mol, very close to our calculated enthalpy of formation for the singlet ground state of $CH_2NHCH_3^+$ (see Table IV³⁵). Other experiments (notably those of Levsen and McLafferty⁴¹ and Thon *et al.*⁴²) that sought an ion of structure $N(CH_3)^+_2$, observed no signal at m/e = 44 following 70 eV ionization. Then *et al.* studied the thermal decomposition of trimethylamine, and found the intensity of their suspected N(CH₃)₂ primary product at m/e=44 to be very low (below the error limit); however, the signal they observed at m/e = 42 was strong. (They made no mention of the signal at m/e=43.) Levsen and McLafferty, who used collisional activation, characterized the decomposition of ions of formula $NC_2H_6^+$, produced from various sources, including trimethylamine. They found no peak at m/e = 44, and concluded that ions of initial structure $CH_3NCH_3^+$ rearrange to $CH_3NH=CH_2^+$ faster than the 10⁻⁵ s drift time in their experiments. Then, depending on the amount of internal excitation of the latter ion, H-atom or H₂ loss occurs, giving rise to the observed signals at m/e = 43and m/e = 42. In addition, they noticed that high internal energies favor H loss, while low internal energies increase the H₂-loss channel. This seems to match our observation, where the fastest primary $N(CH_3)_2$ photoproducts (i.e., those with the least internal energy) appear only at m/e = 42, following H₂ loss in the ion. Our calculations show that the fragmentation of $N(CH_3)_2^+$ to $NC_2H_4^++H_2$ can be excergic by ~50 kcal/mol, if the $NC_2H_4^+$ ion corresponds to the N-protonated acetonitrile, helping to explain how H₂ loss can occur, even from those N(CH₃)₂ radicals with very little internal energy. The lack of any parent signal at m/e = 44 at near-threshold photoionization conditions implies that this fragmentation has a very low barrier.

The CH₂NCH₃ closed-shell species formed in these experiments by secondary H-atom loss from N(CH₃)₂ has an experimentally determined ionization onset of <9.3 eV. The calculated adiabatic ionization energy is 9.1 eV, while the vertical ionization energy from internally cold CH₂NCH₃ is predicted to lie near 10 eV. A comparison with literature values places the experimental and adiabatic values toward the low end of previous observations⁵ (ranging from 9.3–10.0 eV; see Table I), while the calculated vertical value is in agreement with the higher values, which also correspond to vertical ionization energies. In our experiments, the signal

from CH₂NCH₃ appears not only at its parent ion m/e=43 but also as a fragment at m/e=42. The calculated appearance energy for CH₃-C-NH⁺ fragment ions of CH₃NCH₂⁺ is 9.9 eV, while the experimentally observed onset (uncorrected for the effect of the internal energy excitation) is ~9.8–9.9 eV. The close agreement between these values, together with the agreement of our ionization onset with previous observations and calculations, support our assignment of the "middle" distribution to CH₂NCH₃ closed-shell species, formed by secondary dissociation of N(CH₃)₂ primary photoproducts.

Additionally, we have attempted to characterize the slowest species appearing at m/e = 42. It would appear that this slowest channel arises from an internally hot primary N(CH₃)₂ photofragment undergoing secondary H₂ loss. We were not able to study the H₂ molecule TOF distributions, and so this assignment is based solely on the inferred photoionization properties of the slowest signal at m/e = 42. A comparison of the photoion yield spectra (which suggest an ionization onset of 9.5-9.6 eV) with calculated adiabatic and vertical ionization energies does not allow us to definitively assign this species. Studies utilizing higher resolution photoionization will be of great assistance in better characterizing this species. Additionally, the paucity of information in the literature on nitrogen-containing radicals of formula NC₂H₄ leaves the results of the present study awaiting further experiments and calculations in order to definitively assign this species. The results of this work provide a starting point for future studies on the NC₂H₄ radical, while also providing new information on the dissociation dynamics of the primary $N(CH_3)_2$ radical products of the photodissociation of trimethylamine at 193 nm. The dissociation dynamics of nitrogen-containing systems have proven to be rich and complex, containing surprisingly unstable $N(CH_3)_2$ radicals, and provide a fertile ground for further studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy, under Grant No. DE-FG02-92ER14305 (N.R.F. and L.J.B.) and Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 (B.R.); and by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 (O.S., F.Q., and A.S.). The ALS is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under the latter contract. We acknowledge helpful discussions with M. L. Morton.

- ¹N. R. Forde, M. L. Morton, S. L. Curry, S. J. Wrenn, and L. J. Butler, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 4558 (1999).
- ²N. R. Forde, T. L. Myers, and L. J. Butler, Faraday Discuss. **108**, 221 (1997).
- ³N. R. Forde, L. J. Butler, and S. A. Abrash, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 8954 (1999).
- ⁴S. A. Abrash, D. M. Potts, and K. F. Freed, in preparation.
- ⁵ H. Y. Afeefy, J. F. Liebman, and S. E. Stein, in *NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*, edited by W. G. W. G. Mallard and J. P. Linstrom

- ⁶J. D. Cox and G. Pilcher, *Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds* (Academic, New York, 1970).
- ⁷D. D. M. Wayner, K. B. Clark, A. Rauk, D. Yu, and D. A. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **119**, 8925 (1997).
- ⁸I. P. Fisher and E. Henderson, Trans. Faraday Soc. 63, 1342 (1967).
- ⁹Y. D. Orlov, E. A. Miroshnichenko, L. I. Korchatova, V. P. Vorobeva, and Y. A. Lebedev, Zh. Fiz. Khim. **70**, 1749 (1996).
- ¹⁰D. F. McMillen and D. M. Golden, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **33**, 493 (1982).
- ¹¹ J. L. Franklin, J. G. Dillard, H. M. Rosenstock, J. T. Herron, K. Draxl, and F. H. Field, Natl. Stand. Ref. Data Ser. (U.S., Natl. Bur. Stand.) 26, 95 (1969).
- ¹²B. G. Gowenlock, P. P. Jones, and J. R. Majer, Trans. Faraday Soc. 57, 23 (1961).
- ¹³S. A. Lloyd, M. E. Umstead, and M. C. Lin, J. Energ. Mater. 3, 187 (1985).
- ¹⁴ J. L. Franklin and D. K. Sen Sharma, Adv. Mass Spectrom. 6, 947 (1974).
 ¹⁵ J. A. Kerr, Chem. Rev. 66, 465 (1966).
- ¹⁶S. W. Benson and H. E. O'Neal, National Standards Reference Data Service, NBS, Washington, DC, 1970, Vol. 21.
- ¹⁷D. M. Golden, R. K. Solly, N. A. Gac, and S. W. Benson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **94**, 363 (1972).
- ¹⁸R. A. L. Peerboom, S. Ingemann, N. M. M. Nico, and J. F. Liebman, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1825 (1990).
- ¹⁹Y. G. Lazarou and P. Papaginnakoupulos, J. Phys. Chem. **97**, 4468 (1993).
- ²⁰Q. Chunhua, H. Gongyi, and W. Dianxun, J. Phys. Chem. **103**, 1972 (1999).
- ²¹D. M. Golden (personal communication, 1999).
- ²² X. Yang, J. Lin, Y. T. Lee, D. A. Blank, A. G. Suits, and A. M. Wodtke, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68**, 3317 (1997).

- ²³P. A. Heimann, M. Koike, C. W. Hsu et al., Proc. SPIE 2856, 90 (1996).
- ²⁴ P. A. Heimann, M. Koike, C. W. Hsu *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68**, 1945 (1997).
- ²⁵ M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel *et al.*, GAUSSIAN 98 (Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1998).
- ²⁶S. Hammerum and T. I. Solling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **121**, 6002 (1999).
- ²⁷J. Henricksen and S. Hammerum, Int. J. Mass. Spectrom. **179**, 301 (1998).
- ²⁸W. B. Tzeng, K. Narayanan, G. C. Chang, W. C. Tsai, and J. J. Ho, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 15340 (1996).
- ²⁹W. Koch and G. Frenking, J. Phys. Chem. **91**, 49 (1987).
- ³⁰ M. B. Seasholtz, T. B. Thompson, and N. G. Nelson, J. Phys. Chem. 99, 17838 (1995).
- ³¹S. A. Shaffer, F. Turecek, and R. L. Cerny, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **115**, 12117 (1993).
- ³²G. P. Ford and P. S. Herman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **111**, 3987 (1989).
- ³³ V. Barone, F. Lelj, P. Grande, and N. Russo, Theochem **25**, 319 (1985).
- ³⁴E.-U. Wurthwein, J. Org. Chem. 49, 2971 (1984).
- ³⁵See EPAPS Document No. E-JCPSA6-113-013032 for more details of the calculations performed here. This document may be retrieved via the EPAPS homepage (http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html) or from ftp.aip.org in the directory /epaps/. See the EPAPS homepage for more information.
- ³⁶L. A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P. C. Redfern, V. Rassolov, and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 7764 (1998).
- ³⁷P. M. Guyon and J. Berkowitz, J. Chem. Phys. 54, 1814 (1971).
- ³⁸W. A. Chupka, J. Chem. Phys. 54, 1936 (1971).
- ³⁹T. G. Wright and T. A. Miller, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 4408 (1996).
- ⁴⁰S. W. Sigsworth, R. G. Keesee, and A. W. Castleman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **110**, 6682 (1988).
- ⁴¹K. Levsen and F. W. McLafferty, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 139 (1974).
- ⁴² A. Thon, D. Saulys, S. A. Safvi, D. F. Gaines, and T. F. Kuech, J. Electrochem. Soc. **144**, 1127 (1997).